arXiv:1402.5350v2 [physics.gen-ph] 10 Mar 2014

Merging electromagnetism with space-time metric

C. A. Duarte

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná

CP 19044, 81531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brazil[*]

(Dated: March 11, 2014)

Abstract

In the present work, it is shown that the electromagnetism may be directly associated to the four-dimensional space-time geometry. The starting point is an analysis of the geodesic equation of general relativity where it is verified that it contains implicitly the effects of the Coulomb and the Lorentz forces. Consequently, some components of the metric tensor are identified with the components of the four-vector electromagnetic potential. Then, it is constructed a low-field equation for the electromagnetism in the same structure of the Einstein field equations for the gravitation, relating the curvature of space-time to sources of charge and current density. In this framework, all the Maxwell equations are implicit. A proof of consistency with the framework of quantum mechanics is shown.

[*] celso@fisica.ufpr.br

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the pillars of the general relativity theory (GRT) is the equivalence principle, postulated by Einstein who was supported on his famous elevator thought experiment. The essence of this "Gedankenexperiment" left to the belief on the equality of the inertial and gravitational masses [1, 2], an issue that has been experimentally proved up to high order decimal places of precision [3].

In GRT the phenomenon of gravity is explained as a consequence of the curvature of the space-time continuum. The curvature is a consequence of the Einstein field equations that relate the matter and energy densities in the space, represented by the rank two energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, to the Ricci curvature tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$. In other words, gravitation is indirectly explained by the existence of energy and matter in the universe.

On the other side, the electromagnetism is fully described by the set of Maxwell equations [4], which do not show immediately any relationship with the phenomenon of gravitation. In fact, the Maxwell equations can be rewritten in terms of the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\nu,\mu}$ (where A_μ is the four-vector potential), which must satisfy the relativisticaly invariant tensor equations [5]

$$\begin{aligned} F_\nu^{\mu\nu} &= \mu_0 j^\mu \\ F_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} + F_{\gamma\alpha,\beta} + F_{\beta\gamma,\alpha} + &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where j^μ is the four-vector current density.

To account for the effect of the curvature of space-time, equations 1 are generalized replacing the partial derivatives by covariant derivatives [5], which contain terms associated to the metric tensor of space-time $g_{\alpha\beta}$. However, gravitation and electromagnetism continue to be independent entities.

While the recent efforts in the search for an unified theory involving gravitation and electromagnetism stay in close relation with the formalism of quantum mechanics (for example, the string theory), eventually we may find interesting information yet in the grounds of classical theory of fields. In the present work is shown that a comparative analysis involving the equations of the GRT and of the electromagnetism under a strictly classical viewpoint leaves to a close relation between the electromagnetism and the metric of space-time. The result may leave to a new conception about the relation between gravitation and electromagnetism.

This work is organized on the following way: section II studies the geodesic equation

of GRT for the movement of electrically charged particles, and it is arrived naturally to an alternative form for this equation where components of the metric tensor include components of the four-vector potential. Section III studies the impact of such metric on the curvature of the space-time and arrives to a field equation for the electromagnetism similar to the Einstein field equations of GRT. Section IV is dedicated to show a consistency between the present model and quantum mechanics. Section V gives some additional comments and interpretation for the results and the final section presents the conclusions of the work.

II. FROM THE GEODESIC EQUATION TO THE ELECTROMAGNETISM

In GRT, the trajectory of a massive body with coordinates $(ct, x, y, x) = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ in the presence of a gravitational field is described by the equation of the geodesic, which for an affine curved spacetime is given by [1, 5]

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\frac{dx^\alpha}{ds}\frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where s is the space-time interval and $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ are the Christoffel symbols. In this equation, the first term is the four-vector acceleration and the second represents the effect of gravity. Considering the principle of equivalence, equation 2 expresses the equality of Newton's second law of movement and the gravitational force [1, 5].

In the presence of an electromagnetic field (EMF), it is usually added a term to the geodesic equation 2, which turns out to [5]

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\frac{dx^\alpha}{ds}\frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = \frac{q}{mc^2}F^\mu{}_\nu\frac{dx^\nu}{ds} \tag{3}$$

where $F^\mu{}_\nu$ are the components of the EMF tensor. Since in this equation gravitation is entirely included on the Christoffel symbols and the electromagnetism is only on the EMF tensor, the two phenomena – gravitation and electromagnetism – remain yet separated and a way for unification is not apparent.

On the limit of small velocities ($v << c$), all the spatial components of the four-velocity $\frac{dx^\alpha}{ds}$ are negligible with respect to the time component $\frac{dx^0}{ds} \approx 1$; consequeltly, the second term of 2 is reduced approximately to $\Gamma^\mu_{00}\left(\frac{dx^0}{ds}\right)^2 \approx \Gamma^\mu_{00}$. On the other side, if we consider the first order corrections (linear in $\frac{dx^a}{ds}$) we have the terms $2\Gamma^\mu_{a0}\frac{dx^a}{ds}\frac{dx^0}{ds} \approx 2\Gamma^\mu_{a0}\frac{dx^a}{ds}$ [6]. In practice this development could be considered simply as successive degrees of approximation of the

problem. However, here we point a striking similarity between the above terms with very well-known expressions, the Coulomb force $\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E}$ caused by an electric field $\mathbf{E}$ acting on a charge q, and the Lorentz force $\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}$ caused by a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ acting on a charge q moving at a velocity $\mathbf{v}$ [4]. Since the former is independent on the velocity and the latter is linear on this magnitude, we wonder if the geodesic equation 2 contains itself both these two laws of electromagnetism and electrodynamics – and in this sense equation 3 would have a redundance.

We immediately try to find the direct correspondence between the components of electric ($\mathbf{E}$) and magnetic ($\mathbf{B}$) fields and the terms involving the Christoffel symbols [7]. Since on the limit $v << c$ the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is approximatelly equal to the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = 2(\delta_{\alpha 0} - \frac{1}{2})\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, we find after some algebra

$$E^a = \frac{mc^2}{q}\left(-g_{a0,0} + \frac{g_{00,a}}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

(in the present work, Roman indexes refer only to the spatial coordinates and Greek indexes refer to spatial and time coordinates). Since $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla\phi$, the first and the second terms in the parenthesis in 4 can be imediately identified with the time derivative of the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ and to the gradient of the scalar electric potential ϕ (respectively). Then, for weak fields,

$$\begin{cases} g_{00} = 1 - \dfrac{2q}{mc^2}\phi \\ g_{a0} = \dfrac{q}{mc}\mathbf{A} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

To verify consistence, we treat the case of the magnetic field. We identify the vector $-2\Gamma^a_{b0}\frac{dx^b}{ds}$ to the components of the vector product $\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}$ which appear on the Lorentz force (less to a factor $\frac{q}{m}$). This leaves to the following system of linear equations in the components of $\mathbf{v}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} - \lambda_3 & \gamma_{13} + \lambda_2 \\ \gamma_{21} + \lambda_3 & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} - \lambda_1 \\ \gamma_{31} - \lambda_2 & \gamma_{32} + \lambda_1 & \gamma_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^1 \\ v^2 \\ v^3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{6}$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \Gamma^i_{j0}$ and $\lambda_i = -\frac{cq}{2m}B^i$. The above linear system is satisfied for any arbitrary $\mathbf{v}$ if and only if the determinant of the 3×3 square matrix on 6 is zero. Fortunately, this condition is satisfied identically with the choice of $\mathbf{A}$ as presented in equations 5, since $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$.

Then, we conclude that the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ (no gravitation) with a weak EMF

would be

$$g = \eta_{EMF} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2q}{mc^2}\phi & \frac{q}{mc}A^1 & \frac{q}{mc}A^2 & \frac{q}{mc}A^3 \\ \frac{q}{mc}A^1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{q}{mc}A^2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{q}{mc}A^3 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Note that $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ depends on the charge-mass ratio q/m. Consequently, this metric is dependent on the property of the particle, and reflects the fact that the space-time geometry experienced by a given test particle is not universal but an intrinsic property of the particle. In particular, note that $g_{00} \approx 1$ if $\frac{2q}{mc^2}\phi << 1$, which implies on energies of the order of $\epsilon = q\phi << mc^2$, i.e., ϵ must be much smaller than the particle rest mass. For an electron as an example, this energy is around $3MeV$. Then, in the presence of an electromagnetic field at zero gravitational field the Minkowski diagonal metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is a very good approximation.

We stress that spatial rotations on the above metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ preserve the usual vector transformations of the coordinates of the vector $\mathbf{A}$, a fact that serves as an additional proof of consistence for the integration of the four-vector potential $A^\mu = (\phi, c\mathbf{A})$ in the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

Following the line of reasoning, the equation of movement for a charged particle in the presence of an EMF (with or without gravitation) can be expressed simply by equation 2 and the usual second member in equation 3 is unnecessary. Then, equation 3 actually has a redundance.

III. THE CURVATURE OF SPACE-TIME AND THE FIELD EQUATIONS FOR THE EMF

It is interesting to study the impact of the the metric 7 on the Einstein field equations [8],

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\alpha\beta} \tag{8}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ are the covariant components of the Ricci curvature and the energy-momentum tensors, respectively [5, 9]. Explicitly, the components of the Ricci tensor are given by

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta,\mu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\mu,\beta} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\nu}\Gamma^\nu_{\beta\mu} \tag{9}$$

while that of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ p_2 & \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ p_3 & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

where ρ is the energy density per unit of volume, p_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the components of the momentum and σ_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ are components of the pressure.

In our first order approximation, one of the components of the Ricci tensor is

$$R_{00} = \nabla^2 \left(\frac{g_0}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{c} \partial_0 (\nabla . \vec{g}) \tag{11}$$

where $g_0 = g_{00}$ and $\vec{g} = (g_{01}, g_{02}, g_{03})$. Employing relations 5 and from the equality of electrodynamics $\nabla . \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_0 \phi = 0$ (vanishing divergence of the four-vector electromagnetic potential) [4],

$$R_{00} = \frac{q}{mc^2} \Box \, \phi \tag{12}$$

Since $R = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T$ ([5]) and $T_{00} = \rho$ (W is the energy density), in the condition of vanishing of the stress components $T = \rho$, and we arrive to a wave equation

$$\Box \, \phi = -\frac{8\pi G m}{q c^2} \rho \tag{13}$$

For the EMF in vacuum, $\rho = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} E^2$. Then,

$$\Box \, \phi = -\frac{4\pi G m}{q c^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \mathbf{E}^2 \tag{14}$$

which is a nonlinear equation because $\mathbf{E}$ depends linearly on ϕ, in apparent contradiction to the expected from the classical (linear) electrodynamics. However, this is explained by the fact that at weak fields the second member of equation 14 is sufficiently small to be neglected. On the other side, for high fields the weak field approximation is not valid and so does equation 14.

However, the Einstein field equations 8 refer the curvature to matter density, and not to any particular characteristic magnitude of the electromagnetism, say, charge densities and/or current densities. In add, from the theory of electrodynamics it would be expected the quantity $\frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0}$ on the second member of equation 13 [5], related to a charge density ρ_q and not to a density of matter ρ.

Having obtained a wave equation for ϕ from R_{00}, it is very tempting to consider the remaining components of the Ricci curvature tensor, in order to verify the possibility of the construction of significant equations of the electromagnetism (the Maxwell equations or other equations derived from them) based on the Ricci tensor and constructed on similar form as that of the Einstein field equations 8. In the framework of the classical theory of fields, the electromagnetism in vacuum is described by the Maxwell equations,

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \\ \nabla . \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla . \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are respectively the vectors electric field, magnetic field and current density, and ρ_q is the charge density.

The first equation of 15 is yet implicit with the definition of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in terms of the four-vector potential A^μ. Now, let us consider the components of $R_{\alpha\beta}$ with the metric 7. In first approximation we obtain:

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{q}{mc^2}\begin{pmatrix} \Box\phi & \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_1 & \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_2 & \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_3 \\ \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_1 & -E_{1,1} & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1,2}+E_{2,1}\right) & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1,3}+E_{3,1}\right) \\ \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_2 & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{2,1}+E_{1,2}\right) & -E_{2,2} & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{2,3}+E_{3,2}\right) \\ \frac{c}{2}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)_3 & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{3,1}+E_{1,3}\right) & -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{3,2}+E_{2,3}\right) & -E_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

Since $\Box\phi = \frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0}$, [4] we verify that if the scalar curvature is zero (the trace of the Ricci tensor $R = g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$) we recover exactly the Gauss law $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0}$, the third equation of the set 15. Note also that the components $R_{0a} = R_{a0}$ are proportional to the components of $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}$, which is the left member of the second equation of 15.

It remains to find a term with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$, which is not actually present in the Ricci tensor. But curiously, the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ emerges on a mathematical identity: starting with the components of the Riemann curvature tensor $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ [1, 5, 9],

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = g_{\alpha\nu}\left(\Gamma^\nu_{\beta\delta,\gamma} - \Gamma^\nu_{\beta\gamma,\delta} + \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\delta}\Gamma^\nu_{\gamma\mu} - \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma}\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\delta}\right), \tag{17}$$

we arrve to:

$$\begin{cases} R_{0000} = R_{000a} = R_{00a0} = R_{0a00} = R_{a000} = R_{ab00} = R_{00ab} = R_{abcd} = 0 \\ R_{a0c0} = -R_{a00c} = -R_{0ac0} = R_{0a0c} = -\frac{q}{2mc^2}\left(\frac{\partial E_a}{\partial x^c} + \frac{\partial E_c}{\partial x^a}\right) \\ R_{abc0} = -R_{ab0c} = R_{c0ab} = -R_{0cab} = \frac{q}{2mc}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^c}\left(\frac{\partial A^a}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial A^b}{\partial x^a}\right) \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

From the first Bianchi identity [5], it follows that $R_{1230} + R_{3120} + R_{2310} \equiv 0$. On the other side, the terms R_{abc0} with $a \neq b \neq c \neq a$ give $\frac{\partial B_c}{\partial x^c}$, and their sum is $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$. From this we obtain directly the remaining Maxwell equation (the fourth of 15), exactly as required by classical electrodynamics. From this we arrive to the following important result: in our approximation the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ is a mathematical identity, revealing that there is not a magnetic monopoles. If a magnetic monopole exists, it must be derived from a – at least – second order approximation theory. Since our scale factors are $\frac{q\phi}{mc^2}$ and $\frac{qA}{mc}$ which are typically negligible, we infer that if magnetic monopoles exist, their magnetic densities ρ_m should be huge to give appreciable influence on our field equations.

Now, based on the Maxwell equations and on the components of the Ricci tensor (16), we may construct a general equation for the electromagnetism similar to the Einstein field equations of gravitation 8:

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R = \lambda Q_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{q}{mc^2}$ (note that $R = 0$ and then the term $g_{\alpha\beta}R$ can be omitted) and we defined the charge-current tensor $Q_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0} & -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_1 & -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_2 & -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_3 \\ -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c}{2}\mu_0 j_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

and the tensor $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{q}{2mc^2} \left(U_{\alpha,\beta} + U_{\beta,\alpha} \right) \tag{21}$$

where we have defined the non-vector magnitude $U = (0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$.

Expression 21 resembles that of the strain tensor in the theory of elasticity in continuum mechanics [10]. In fact, $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written in terms of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ or even in terms of the strain tensor of space-time $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{ij} = -\epsilon_{00,ij} + \left(\epsilon_{0i,j} + \epsilon_{ij,0} \right)_{,0} \\ \sigma_{i0} = N_{0i} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{00,0i} - \frac{1}{2} g_{i0,00} \\ \sigma_{00} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

and then by a similarity with the theory of elasticity, $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ can be considered as the stress in the space-time.

Finally, since $R = 0$, equation 19 can be rewritten as

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda Q_{\alpha\beta} \tag{23}$$

where the left side contains the terms associated to the geometry of space-time (curvature minus strain, indirectly via equations 22), and the right side contains the sources (charge density and current density). While in the Einstein field equations the dimensional constant is $\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$, here the constant is $\lambda = \frac{q}{mc^2}$.

In summary, we interpret the above considerations as follows: if a charged particle with mass m and electric charge q moves in an EMF, the effect of the Coulomb and the Lorentz forces is equivalent to the free motion of the particle around a geodesic in the space-time with the metric given by expression 7. This metric depends on the charge and the mass of the particle, revealing the relativity of the geometry of space-time which is characteristic of each particle. On the other side, the geometry of space-time is determined by the electromagnetic field equations 23, which relate the curvature and strain of space-time to electric charge and current densities. This completes the parallel between the formalism of the GRT and electrodynamics.

IV. THE FORMALISM IN THE FRAMEWORK OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

The above considerations shows a mathematical self-consistency on the framework of the classical theory of fields. However, a next step would be to consider a quantum counterpart without which they should not be considered adequate in a more complete model for the description of a wide cathegory of physical phenomena.

For that, we consider the four-vector momentum operator $\hat{p^\mu}$ which appears typically on the equations of quantum mechanics. In the presence of an EMF, the momentum operator must be replaced by $\hat{p^\mu} \to \hat{p^\mu} - \frac{q}{c}A^\mu$. Since $\hat{p^\mu} = i\hbar\partial^\mu$, the generalization of this operator for curved space-time requires the replacement of the partial derivative by a covariant derivative, $\hat{p^\mu} = i\hbar D^\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}$. The explicit calculation of the term with the Christofel symbols leads to $\hat{p^\mu} = \partial_\mu - \frac{q}{mc^2}\partial_\mu\phi$. Then, the curvature of space-time contributes to $\hat{p^\mu}$ with the gradient of a scalar $\partial_\mu\phi$ (less to the constant), which by gauge leaves $A_\mu \to A_\mu - \frac{\hbar}{mc}\phi$. This means that, if we take for example the Dirac equation in the presence of EMF in our curved space,

$$i\gamma^\mu\left(\hbar D_\mu - \frac{q}{c}A_\mu\right)\psi - mc\psi = 0 \tag{24}$$

then it can be rewritten as in the conventional form [11]

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\left(\hbar\partial_{\mu} - \frac{q}{c}A_{\mu}\right)\psi - mc\psi = 0 \tag{25}$$

by the appropriate Lorentz gauge $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu} - \frac{\hbar}{mc}\phi$. In other words, the impact of the metric 7 of curved space (without gravitation) on the Dirac equation is equivalent to that of the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$. This proves the consistence of the model: in the presence of EMF (without gravitational field) the metric 7 does not require additional terms on the Dirac equation, and we recover the panorama comprised by all the expected solutions of the conventional Dirac equation, as expected.

V. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

At weak gravitational fields, GRT shows that the relevant component of the metric tensor is g_{00} which is directly associated to the classical Newtonian gravitational potential υ, $g_{00} \approx 1 + \frac{2\upsilon}{c^2}$ [1, 5].

With the present work, we conclude that the presence of weak gravitational and EMF represents a metric tensor whith the component $g_{00} \approx 1 + \frac{2}{c^2}\left(-\frac{q}{m}\phi + \upsilon\right)$. The similar functional dependence of g_{00} on these potentials leads to speculate about a possible relationship between ϕ and υ, which in principle are absolutely distinct on the conceptual viewpoint.

Since the intensity of the gravitational interaction is orders of magnitude smaller than the electromagnetic interaction, eventually υ is a secondary effect arisen from ϕ. A possible candidate could be a kind of quantum exchange interaction. In fact, we can especulate that the resulting exchange interaction between all particles of matter leaves to a nonzero balance interaction, despite the bodies that interact are electrically neutral. The existence of such interaction between bodies is conditioned to the constituent particles have an electric charge, since the exchange interaction is a secondary quantum effect of the Coulomb interaction between electrons, protons and other charged particles in the dynamics of the nucleus of the atom.

However, there are some points to consider. First, it is considered that the exchange interaction as is currently known decays exponentially with the distance. This is a consequence of the fact that the electron wavefunctions roughly decay exponentially with the distance [12].

Note also that, for example, the electrostatic Coulomb interaction between two protons or two electrons is $\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}e^2/r^2 \sim 10^{28}/r^2$ (on SI units). Their gravitational interaction force will be $Gm^2/r^2 \sim 10^{-71}/r^2 - 10^{-64}/r^2$ (where m is the mass of the proton or of the electron), which is abour 36–43 orders of magnitude smaller. In other words, we need that the exchange interaction is smaller than the Coulomb interaction by the same huge factor.

Finally we note that owing to the context of the present work, both the gravitational waves (which can be derived from the Einstein equations 8 [5, 9]) and the electromagnetic waves are seen with an equal essence: the propagation of distortions of space-time.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

As a conclusion, it was shown that the four-vector electromagnetic potential A^μ can be included in components of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$. This enables to construct a tensor field equation for the EMF in similar way of Einstein field equations for the gravitation. It was shown that the association of A^μ with $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is consistent with quantum mechanics. Finally, the existence of a magnetic monopole density may be possible as a second order effect in terms of the factor $\frac{q}{mc^2}$.

[1] Einstein, A., *The meaning of relativity* (Princeton Science Library, 5th edition, Taylor & Francis, 2003)

[2] Einstein, A., Ann, der Physik, **354** (1916), 769-822

[3] S. Schlamminger, K.-Y. Choi, T. A. Wagner, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, E., "Test of the Equivalence Principle Using a Rotating Torsion Balance", Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 041101; I. Ciufolini and J. A. Wheeler, "Gravitation and Inertia" (Princeton University Press: Princeton, 1995)

[4] Jackson, J. D., *Classical electrodynamics* (Wiley, 3rd edition, 1998)

[5] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Course of Theoretical Physics Series, vol. 2 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 4th ed., 1975)

[6] We have considered the symmetry of the Christoffel symbols under permutation of the two lower indexes, and here we use Roman letter indexes for summation restricted to the spatial

coordinates.

[7] Note that the Christoffel symbols are not tensor magnitudes and **E** and **B** are vectors in the three-dimensional space and not four-dimensional magnitudes; they are actually components of the electromagnetic tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$. Consequently there is not *a priori* any restriction to a relation between **E**, **B** and the $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$.

[8] For simplicity and without loss for the present work, we present the equation without the contribution of the cosmological term $\Lambda g_{\alpha\beta}$.

[9] Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S. and Wheeler, J. A., *Gravitation* (San Francisco: W. H. Freeman, 1973)

[10] L. D. Landau, L. P. Pitaevskii, E. M. Lifshitz, A. M. Kosevich, *Theory of Elasticity*, Course of Theoretical Physics Series, vol. 7 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 3rd ed., 1981)

[11] Messiah, A., *Quantum Mechanics* (North-Holland / NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1966)

[12] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics, Non-Relativistic Theory*, Course of Theoretical Physics Series, vol. 3 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 4th ed., 1981)